| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| | 10/722,703 | CHEN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | R. James Balls | 1625 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u> | |
| (1) <u>R. James Balls</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Grant Green</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 August 2006 | Time: <u>6:52</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| rejection(s) discussed. | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Grant Green, applicants' representative, agreed to an examiner's amendment deleting the phrase, "p38 mediated" from Claim 76. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| RAMM. | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropriate) |